

Positively curved manifolds with isometric torus actions

Michael Wiemeler (joint with Lee Kennard and Burkhard Wilking)

20th November 2019



A question and a problem.

Question

What are the topological implications of positive sectional curvature?

A question and a problem.

Question

What are the topological implications of positive sectional curvature?

Problem

Classify manifolds admitting metrics of positive sectional curvature.

Examples of positively curved manifolds.

- ▶ There are only very few examples of manifolds admitting metrics of positive sectional curvature.

Examples of positively curved manifolds.

- ▶ There are only very few examples of manifolds admitting metrics of positive sectional curvature.
- ▶ For $\dim M > 24$ all known examples are diffeomorphic to S^n , $\mathbb{C}P^n$, or $\mathbb{H}P^n$.

Examples of positively curved manifolds.

- ▶ There are only very few examples of manifolds admitting metrics of positive sectional curvature.
- ▶ For $\dim M > 24$ all known examples are diffeomorphic to S^n , $\mathbb{C}P^n$, or $\mathbb{H}P^n$.
- ▶ Other examples are known in dimensions 6, 7, 12, 13 and 24
- ▶ These are certain homogeneous spaces and biquotient spaces.

Topological implications of positive curvature.

For closed manifolds M the following is known:

Classical results

- ▶ Theorem of Gauß-Bonnet: $\sec(M^2) > 0 \Rightarrow M$ is diffeomorphic to S^2 or $\mathbb{R}P^2$.

Topological implications of positive curvature.

For closed manifolds M the following is known:

Classical results

- ▶ Theorem of Gauß-Bonnet: $\sec(M^2) > 0 \Rightarrow M$ is diffeomorphic to S^2 or $\mathbb{R}P^2$.
- ▶ Theorem of Synge: $\sec(M^{2n}) > 0 \Rightarrow |\pi_1(M)| \leq 2$.
- ▶ Theorem of Bonnet-Myers: $\text{Ric}(M^n) > 0 \Rightarrow |\pi_1(M)| < \infty$.

Topological implications of positive curvature.

For closed manifolds M the following is known:

Classical results

- ▶ Theorem of Gauß-Bonnet: $\sec(M^2) > 0 \Rightarrow M$ is diffeomorphic to S^2 or $\mathbb{R}P^2$.
- ▶ Theorem of Synge: $\sec(M^{2n}) > 0 \Rightarrow |\pi_1(M)| \leq 2$.
- ▶ Theorem of Bonnet-Myers: $\text{Ric}(M^n) > 0 \Rightarrow |\pi_1(M)| < \infty$.
- ▶ Gromov's Betti number Theorem: $\sec(M^n) \geq 0 \Rightarrow \sum_i b_i(M) < C(n)$.

Two conjectures.

- ▶ There are no invariants which can distinguish positively and non-negatively curved simply connected manifolds.

Two conjectures.

- ▶ There are no invariants which can distinguish positively and non-negatively curved simply connected manifolds.
- ▶ But there are the following conjectures:

Two conjectures.

- ▶ There are no invariants which can distinguish positively and non-negatively curved simply connected manifolds.
- ▶ But there are the following conjectures:

Hopf's Conjecture I

If M is a closed, even-dimensional positively curved manifold, then the Euler characteristic of M is positive.

Two conjectures.

- ▶ There are no invariants which can distinguish positively and non-negatively curved simply connected manifolds.
- ▶ But there are the following conjectures:

Hopf's Conjecture I

If M is a closed, even-dimensional positively curved manifold, then the Euler characteristic of M is positive.

Hopf's Conjecture II

$S^2 \times S^2$ does not admit a positively curved metric.

Remarks.

- ▶ The first conjecture would imply that $S^{2n+1} \times S^{2n'+1}$ does not admit a positively curved metric.

Remarks.

- ▶ The first conjecture would imply that $S^{2n+1} \times S^{2n'+1}$ does not admit a positively curved metric.
- ▶ The first conjecture is true in dimensions two and four.

A programme.

Grove's Programme

Classify simply connected positively curved manifolds with large isometry group first.

The result of Grove and Searle.

Theorem (Grove and Searle 1992)

Let M^n be positively curved and simply connected.

Assume that there is an isometric, effective action of a torus T^d with

$$d \geq \left\lceil \frac{n+1}{2} \right\rceil.$$

Then M is diffeomorphic to S^n or $\mathbb{C}P^{\frac{n}{2}}$.

Wilking's Theorem.

Theorem (Wilking 2003)

Let M^n be manifold with $\pi_1(M) = 0$, $\text{sec}(M) > 0$, and $n \geq 10$.

Suppose that there is an effective isometric action of a d -dimensional torus T^d on M^n with

$$d \geq \frac{1}{4}n + 1.$$

Then M^n is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{H}P^{\frac{n}{4}}$ or to S^n , or M is homotopy equivalent to $\mathbb{C}P^{\frac{n}{2}}$.

Main tool in the proof.

Connectedness Lemma (Wilking 2003)

Assume $\sec(M^n) > 0$. If $N^{n-k} \subset M^n$ is a totally geodesic submanifold, then the inclusion $N^{n-k} \rightarrow M^n$ is $(n - 2k + 1)$ -connected.

Further results until 2010.

- ▶ Kleiner (1990) and independently Searle and Yang (1994) classify simply connected positively curved 4-manifolds with S^1 -symmetry.

Further results until 2010.

- ▶ Kleiner (1990) and independently Searle and Yang (1994) classify simply connected positively curved 4-manifolds with S^1 -symmetry.
- ▶ Fang and Rong (2005) gave a homeomorphism classification of positively curved n -manifolds with symmetry rank $\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor$.

Further results until 2010.

- ▶ Kleiner (1990) and independently Searle and Yang (1994) classify simply connected positively curved 4-manifolds with S^1 -symmetry.
- ▶ Fang and Rong (2005) gave a homeomorphism classification of positively curved n -manifolds with symmetry rank $[\frac{n-1}{2}]$.
- ▶ Dessai (2007) gives vanishing results for coefficients of the elliptic genus of a positively curved two-connected manifold with isometric S^1 -action.

Kennard's result.

Theorem (Kennard 2013)

Assume $\sec(M^n) > 0$. If $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ and M admits an effective, isometric T^d -action with

$$d \geq 2\log_2 n - 2,$$

then $\chi(M) > 0$.

Main tool in proof.

Periodicity Theorem (Kennard 2012)

Let M^n with $\sec(M^n) > 0$, $\pi_1(M) = 0$.

Assume there is a pair of totally geodesic, transversely intersecting submanifolds of codimensions $k_1 \geq k_2$. If $k_1 + 3k_2 \leq n$, then $H^*(M; \mathbb{Q})$ is 4-periodic.

Main tool in proof.

Periodicity Theorem (Kennard 2012)

Let M^n with $\sec(M^n) > 0$, $\pi_1(M) = 0$.

Assume there is a pair of totally geodesic, transversely intersecting submanifolds of codimensions $k_1 \geq k_2$. If $k_1 + 3k_2 \leq n$, then $H^*(M; \mathbb{Q})$ is 4-periodic.

Definition

Here $H^*(M)$ is called k -periodic, if there is a $e \in H^k(M)$ such that

$$\cup e: H^i(M) \rightarrow H^{i+k}(M)$$

is an isomorphism for all $0 \leq i \leq \dim M - k$ or $H^*(M) \cong H^*(S^{\dim M})$.

Remarks

- ▶ If $H^*(M; \mathbb{Q})$ is four-periodic and $b_1(M) = b_3(M) = 0$, then $H^*(M; \mathbb{Q})$ is one of the following:
 $H^*(S^n; \mathbb{Q})$, $H^*(\mathbb{C}P^{\frac{n}{2}}; \mathbb{Q})$, $H^*(\mathbb{H}P^{\frac{n}{4}}; \mathbb{Q})$, or $H^*(S^2 \times \mathbb{H}P^{\frac{n-2}{4}}; \mathbb{Q})$.

Remarks

- ▶ If $H^*(M; \mathbb{Q})$ is four-periodic and $b_1(M) = b_3(M) = 0$, then $H^*(M; \mathbb{Q})$ is one of the following:
 $H^*(S^n; \mathbb{Q})$, $H^*(\mathbb{C}P^{\frac{n}{2}}; \mathbb{Q})$, $H^*(\mathbb{H}P^{\frac{n}{4}}; \mathbb{Q})$, or $H^*(S^2 \times \mathbb{H}P^{\frac{n-2}{4}}; \mathbb{Q})$.
- ▶ If $H^*(M; \mathbb{Q})$ is four-periodic, $b_1(M) = 0$ and $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, then $b_3(M) = 0$.

Further results since 2013.

- ▶ Amann and Kennard (2014/2015/2017) further improved the bound in Kennard's original result.

Further results since 2013.

- ▶ Amann and Kennard (2014/2015/2017) further improved the bound in Kennard's original result.
- ▶ Weisskopf (2017) combined Kennard's result with the methods of Dessai.

Further results since 2013.

- ▶ Amann and Kennard (2014/2015/2017) further improved the bound in Kennard's original result.
- ▶ Weisskopf (2017) combined Kennard's result with the methods of Dessai.
- ▶ Goertsches and W. (2015) studied positively curved GKM-manifolds.

First main result.

Theorem (Kennard, W., Wilking 2019)

Assume $\sec(M^n) > 0$ and that there is an isometric effective action of a torus T^d of dimension

$$d \geq 5.$$

Then every component F of M^T has the rational cohomology of S^m , $\mathbb{C}P^m$ or $\mathbb{H}P^m$.

First main result.

Theorem (Kennard, W., Wilking 2019)

Assume $\sec(M^n) > 0$ and that there is an isometric effective action of a torus T^d of dimension

$$d \geq 5.$$

Then every component F of M^T has the rational cohomology of S^m , $\mathbb{C}P^m$ or $\mathbb{H}P^m$.

- ▶ This is first result in this direction where the dimension of the acting torus does not grow with the dimension of the manifold.

Some corollaries.

Corollary

Hopf's Conjecture I holds for manifolds with isometric T^5 -actions.

Some corollaries.

Corollary

Hopf's Conjecture I holds for manifolds with isometric T^5 -actions.

Corollary

The isometry group of a potential positively curved metric on $S^{2n+1} \times S^{2n'+1}$ has rank at most four.

New tool in proof.

T -Splitting Theorem

If $T^{2d+1} \rightarrow SO(V)$ is a faithful representation,

New tool in proof.

T -Splitting Theorem

If $T^{2d+1} \rightarrow SO(V)$ is a faithful representation, then there exists a d -dimensional subgroup $H \subset T^{2d+1}$ such that the induced representation $T^{2d+1}/H \rightarrow SO(V^H)$ is faithful and has exactly $d + 1$ non-trivial, pairwise inequivalent, irreducible subrepresentations.

New tool in proof.

T -Splitting Theorem

If $T^{2d+1} \rightarrow SO(V)$ is a faithful representation, then there exists a d -dimensional subgroup $H \subset T^{2d+1}$ such that the induced representation $T^{2d+1}/H \rightarrow SO(V^H)$ is faithful and has exactly $d + 1$ non-trivial, pairwise inequivalent, irreducible subrepresentations. In particular, there exist $d + 1$ circles in T^{2d+1}/H whose fixed point sets in V^H intersect pairwise transversely.

Outline of proof I.

- ▶ Localization in equivariant cohomology, leads to relations between $H^*(M)$ and $H^*(M^T)$.

Outline of proof I.

- ▶ Localization in equivariant cohomology, leads to relations between $H^*(M)$ and $H^*(M^T)$.
- ▶ Therefore it suffices to find for each component $F \subset M^T$, an invariant submanifold $F \subset P \subset M$ with controlled cohomology ring.

Outline of proof I.

- ▶ Localization in equivariant cohomology, leads to relations between $H^*(M)$ and $H^*(M^T)$.
- ▶ Therefore it suffices to find for each component $F \subset M^T$, an invariant submanifold $F \subset P \subset M$ with controlled cohomology ring.
- ▶ To find P do the following:
 - ▶ For simplicity assume $d \geq 7$.

Outline of proof I.

- ▶ Localization in equivariant cohomology, leads to relations between $H^*(M)$ and $H^*(M^T)$.
- ▶ Therefore it suffices to find for each component $F \subset M^T$, an invariant submanifold $F \subset P \subset M$ with controlled cohomology ring.
- ▶ To find P do the following:
 - ▶ For simplicity assume $d \geq 7$.
 - ▶ Let P be a component of M^H , where H is as in the T -Splitting Theorem (with $V = T_x M$ for $x \in F$).

Outline of proof I.

- ▶ Localization in equivariant cohomology, leads to relations between $H^*(M)$ and $H^*(M^T)$.
- ▶ Therefore it suffices to find for each component $F \subset M^T$, an invariant submanifold $F \subset P \subset M$ with controlled cohomology ring.
- ▶ To find P do the following:
 - ▶ For simplicity assume $d \geq 7$.
 - ▶ Let P be a component of M^H , where H is as in the T -Splitting Theorem (with $V = T_x M$ for $x \in F$).
 - ▶ Then there are four totally geodesic submanifolds of P intersecting pairwise transversely.

Outline of proof I.

- ▶ Localization in equivariant cohomology, leads to relations between $H^*(M)$ and $H^*(M^T)$.
- ▶ Therefore it suffices to find for each component $F \subset M^T$, an invariant submanifold $F \subset P \subset M$ with controlled cohomology ring.
- ▶ To find P do the following:
 - ▶ For simplicity assume $d \geq 7$.
 - ▶ Let P be a component of M^H , where H is as in the T -Splitting Theorem (with $V = T_x M$ for $x \in F$).
 - ▶ Then there are four totally geodesic submanifolds of P intersecting pairwise transversely.
 - ▶ Applying the Periodicity Theorem to the two submanifolds of smallest codimension, implies that P has four-periodic cohomology.

Outline of proof II.

- ▶ Computations in equivariant cohomology then show $b_3(P) = 0$

Outline of proof II.

- ▶ Computations in equivariant cohomology then show $b_3(P) = 0$
- ▶ Therefore by classical results one only has to deal with the case that $H^*(P; \mathbb{Q}) = H^*(S^2 \times \mathbb{H}P^n; \mathbb{Q})$.

Outline of proof II.

- ▶ Computations in equivariant cohomology then show $b_3(P) = 0$
- ▶ Therefore by classical results one only has to deal with the case that $H^*(P; \mathbb{Q}) = H^*(S^2 \times \mathbb{H}P^n; \mathbb{Q})$.
- ▶ In this case computations in equivariant cohomology and the connectedness lemma lead to the desired result.

Second main result.

Theorem (Kennard, W., Wilking 2019)

Assume $\sec(M^n) > 0$, $\pi_1(M) = 0$. If M admits an isometric effective equivariantly formal action of T^d , with

$$d \geq 8,$$

then M has the rational cohomology of S^n , $\mathbb{C}P^{\frac{n}{2}}$, or $\mathbb{H}P^{\frac{n}{4}}$.

Second main result.

Theorem (Kennard, W., Wilking 2019)

Assume $\sec(M^n) > 0$, $\pi_1(M) = 0$. If M admits an isometric effective equivariantly formal action of T^d , with

$$d \geq 8,$$

then M has the rational cohomology of S^n , $\mathbb{C}P^{\frac{n}{2}}$, or $\mathbb{H}P^{\frac{n}{4}}$.

- ▶ Bott's Conjecture asks whether a positively curved manifold is rationally elliptic.
- ▶ Together with Hopf's Conjecture it would imply that $H^{\text{odd}}(M; \mathbb{Q}) = 0$ in even-dimensions. This in turn implies equivariant formality.

A corollary.

Corollary

The isometry group of a potential positively curved metric on $S^{2n} \times S^{2n'}$ and $S^{2n} \times S^{2n'-1}$, $n' \leq n$ has rank at most seven.

A corollary.

Corollary

The isometry group of a potential positively curved metric on $S^{2n} \times S^{2n'}$ and $S^{2n} \times S^{2n'-1}$, $n' \leq n$ has rank at most seven.

- ▶ For the proof note that all torus actions on these manifolds are equivariantly formal.

A corollary.

Corollary

The isometry group of a potential positively curved metric on $S^{2n} \times S^{2n'}$ and $S^{2n} \times S^{2n'-1}$, $n' \leq n$ has rank at most seven.

- ▶ For the proof note that all torus actions on these manifolds are equivariantly formal.
- ▶ There exist equivariantly non-formal actions on $S^2 \times S^3$.

Outline of proof I.

- ▶ Equivariant formality, implies that

$$H^*(M; \mathbb{Q}) \cong H_T^*(M; \mathbb{Q}) / (H^0(BT)),$$

Outline of proof I.

- Equivariant formality, implies that

$$H^*(M; \mathbb{Q}) \cong H_T^*(M; \mathbb{Q}) / (H^{p0}(BT)),$$

and that

$$l^*: H_T^*(M; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_T^*(M^T; \mathbb{Q})$$

is injective.

Outline of proof I.

- ▶ Equivariant formality, implies that

$$H^*(M; \mathbb{Q}) \cong H_T^*(M; \mathbb{Q}) / (H^{\geq 0}(BT)),$$

and that

$$i^*: H_T^*(M; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_T^*(M^T; \mathbb{Q})$$

is injective.

- ▶ Hence it suffices to determine the image of i^* .

Outline of proof II.

► Let $M_1 = \{x \in M; \dim Tx \leq 1\} = \bigcup_{T^{d-1} \subset T^d} M^{T^{d-1}}$.

Outline of proof II.

► Let $M_1 = \{x \in M; \dim Tx \leq 1\} = \bigcup_{T^{d-1} \subset T^d} M^{T^{d-1}}$.

Lemma (Chang, Skjelbred 1974)

Assume that the T -action on M is equivariantly formal. Then for every closed invariant subspace $M_1 \subset X \subset M$,

$$i^* H_T^*(X; \mathbb{Q}) = i^* H_T^*(M; \mathbb{Q}) \subset H_T^*(M^T; \mathbb{Q}).$$

Outline of proof II.

► Let $M_1 = \{x \in M; \dim Tx \leq 1\} = \bigcup_{T^{d-1} \subset T^d} M^{T^{d-1}}$.

Lemma (Chang, Skjelbred 1974)

Assume that the T -action on M is equivariantly formal. Then for every closed invariant subspace $M_1 \subset X \subset M$,

$$l^* H_T^*(X; \mathbb{Q}) = l^* H_T^*(M; \mathbb{Q}) \subset H_T^*(M^T; \mathbb{Q}).$$

► Hence, it suffices to determine the combinatorial structure of M_1 .

Outline of proof III.

In even dimensions n , we now have to consider two cases:

- ▶ $\exists T^7 \subset T^8$ and $F_0 \subset M^{T^7}$, $\dim F_0 \geq 4$.
- ▶ The T^8 -action is GKM. (This case is similar to the discussion in Goertsches-W. 2015)

Outline of proof IV.

In the first case. Then:

- ▶ Using work of Smith, Bredon, Hsiang-Su,

$$M_1 \subset \bigcup_{T^5 \subset T^7} N_{T^5} =: X,$$

Outline of proof IV.

In the first case. Then:

- ▶ Using work of Smith, Bredon, Hsiang-Su,

$$M_1 \subset \bigcup_{T^5 \subset T^7} N_{T^5} =: X,$$

where N_{T^5} denotes the fixed point component of $T^5 \subset T^7$ containing F_0

- ▶ Using a Mayer-Vietoris argument, we show that

$$i^* H_{T^7}^*(X; \mathbb{Q}) \subset H^*(M^{T^7}; \mathbb{Q})$$

is isomorphic to a similar algebra constructed for a linear action on some S^n , $\mathbb{H}P^n$, or $\mathbb{C}P^n$, respectively.

Outline of proof IV.

In the first case. Then:

- ▶ Using work of Smith, Bredon, Hsiang-Su,

$$M_1 \subset \bigcup_{T^5 \subset T^7} N_{T^5} =: X,$$

where N_{T^5} denotes the fixed point component of $T^5 \subset T^7$ containing F_0

- ▶ Using a Mayer-Vietoris argument, we show that

$$i^* H_{T^7}^*(X; \mathbb{Q}) \subset H^*(M^{T^7}; \mathbb{Q})$$

is isomorphic to a similar algebra constructed for a linear action on some S^n , $\mathbb{H}P^n$, or $\mathbb{C}P^n$, respectively.

- ▶ The Chang–Skjelbred Lemma now implies the claim.

Thank you!