

Foliations arising from configurations of vectors,
Gale duality, and moment-angle manifolds
joint with Maxim Strumentov

Taras Panov

Moscow State University

Toric Topology in Okayama 2019

Okayama University of Science, Okayama, Japan
18–22 November 2019

Vector configurations and their associated foliations

$V \cong \mathbb{R}^k$ a k -dimensional real vector space

$\Gamma = \{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m\}$ a **configuration** of m vectors in the dual space V^* .

Vector configurations and their associated foliations

$V \cong \mathbb{R}^k$ a k -dimensional real vector space

$\Gamma = \{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m\}$ a **configuration** of m vectors in the dual space V^* .

Allow repetitions, but assume that $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m$ span V^* .

Vector configurations and their associated foliations

$V \cong \mathbb{R}^k$ a k -dimensional real vector space

$\Gamma = \{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m\}$ a **configuration** of m vectors in the dual space V^* .

Allow repetitions, but assume that $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m$ span V^* .

Consider the action of V on \mathbb{R}^m given by

$$V \times \mathbb{R}^m \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$$

$$(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{x}) \mapsto \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{x} = (e^{\langle \gamma_1, \mathbf{v} \rangle} x_1, \dots, e^{\langle \gamma_m, \mathbf{v} \rangle} x_m).$$

Vector configurations and their associated foliations

$V \cong \mathbb{R}^k$ a k -dimensional real vector space

$\Gamma = \{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m\}$ a **configuration** of m vectors in the dual space V^* .

Allow repetitions, but assume that $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m$ span V^* .

Consider the action of V on \mathbb{R}^m given by

$$V \times \mathbb{R}^m \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$$

$$(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{x}) \mapsto \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{x} = (e^{\langle \gamma_1, \mathbf{v} \rangle} x_1, \dots, e^{\langle \gamma_m, \mathbf{v} \rangle} x_m).$$

This is a very classical dynamical system taking its origin in the works of Poincaré. There is a well-known relationship between the linear properties of Γ and the topology of the foliation of \mathbb{R}^m by the orbits of the action.

Vector configurations and their associated foliations

$V \cong \mathbb{R}^k$ a k -dimensional real vector space

$\Gamma = \{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m\}$ a **configuration** of m vectors in the dual space V^* .

Allow repetitions, but assume that $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m$ span V^* .

Consider the action of V on \mathbb{R}^m given by

$$V \times \mathbb{R}^m \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$$

$$(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{x}) \mapsto \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{x} = (e^{\langle \gamma_1, \mathbf{v} \rangle} x_1, \dots, e^{\langle \gamma_m, \mathbf{v} \rangle} x_m).$$

This is a very classical dynamical system taking its origin in the works of Poincaré. There is a well-known relationship between the linear properties of Γ and the topology of the foliation of \mathbb{R}^m by the orbits of the action. We attempt for systematising the existing knowledge on this relationship and proceed by analysing the topology of the quotient (the **leaf space**) using some recent constructions of toric topology.

The above action $V \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ and its holomorphic analogue arise in several important constructions of algebraic geometry and topology:

The above action $V \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ and its holomorphic analogue arise in several important constructions of algebraic geometry and topology:

- Topology of intersections of real and Hermitian quadrics
(topology & holomorphic dynamics)

The above action $V \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ and its holomorphic analogue arise in several important constructions of algebraic geometry and topology:

- Topology of intersections of real and Hermitian quadrics
(topology & holomorphic dynamics)
- The quotient construction of toric varieties (the Cox construction)
(toric geometry)

The above action $V \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ and its holomorphic analogue arise in several important constructions of algebraic geometry and topology:

- Topology of intersections of real and Hermitian quadrics
(topology & holomorphic dynamics)
- The quotient construction of toric varieties (the Cox construction)
(toric geometry)
- Smooth and complex-analytic structures on moment-angle manifolds
(toric topology)

Example

Consider two actions of $V = \mathbb{R}$ on \mathbb{R}^2 given by

$$(v, (x_1, x_2)) \mapsto (e^{vx_1}, e^{vx_2}), \quad (1)$$

$$(v, (x_1, x_2)) \mapsto (e^{vx_1}, e^{-vx_2}). \quad (2)$$

Example

Consider two actions of $V = \mathbb{R}$ on \mathbb{R}^2 given by

$$(v, (x_1, x_2)) \mapsto (e^{vx_1}, e^{vx_2}), \quad (1)$$

$$(v, (x_1, x_2)) \mapsto (e^{vx_1}, e^{-vx_2}). \quad (2)$$

The only non-free orbit for both actions is $\mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{R}^2$, so both actions become free when restricted to $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$.

Example

Consider two actions of $V = \mathbb{R}$ on \mathbb{R}^2 given by

$$(v, (x_1, x_2)) \mapsto (e^{vx_1}, e^{vx_2}), \quad (1)$$

$$(v, (x_1, x_2)) \mapsto (e^{vx_1}, e^{-vx_2}). \quad (2)$$

The only non-free orbit for both actions is $\mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{R}^2$, so both actions become free when restricted to $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$.

For (1), the quotient $(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\})/\mathbb{R}$ is a circle (a smooth manifold).

Example

Consider two actions of $V = \mathbb{R}$ on \mathbb{R}^2 given by

$$(v, (x_1, x_2)) \mapsto (e^{vx_1}, e^{vx_2}), \quad (1)$$

$$(v, (x_1, x_2)) \mapsto (e^{vx_1}, e^{-vx_2}). \quad (2)$$

The only non-free orbit for both actions is $\mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{R}^2$, so both actions become free when restricted to $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$.

For (1), the quotient $(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\})/\mathbb{R}$ is a circle (a smooth manifold).

For (2), the quotient $(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\})/\mathbb{R}$ is a non-Hausdorff space.

Example

Consider two actions of $V = \mathbb{R}$ on \mathbb{R}^2 given by

$$(v, (x_1, x_2)) \mapsto (e^{vx_1}, e^{vx_2}), \quad (1)$$

$$(v, (x_1, x_2)) \mapsto (e^{vx_1}, e^{-vx_2}). \quad (2)$$

The only non-free orbit for both actions is $\mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{R}^2$, so both actions become free when restricted to $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$.

For (1), the quotient $(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\})/\mathbb{R}$ is a circle (a smooth manifold).

For (2), the quotient $(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\})/\mathbb{R}$ is a non-Hausdorff space.

The difference is that (1) is a **proper** action, while (2) is not.

Nondegenerate leaves

We consider invariant subsets $U \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ with the property that the restriction of the action $V \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ to U is free.

Nondegenerate leaves

We consider invariant subsets $U \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ with the property that the restriction of the action $V \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ to U is free.

Proposition

The orbit Vx of a point $x = (x_1, \dots, x_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m$ under the action $V \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is free iff the subset $\{\gamma_i : x_i \neq 0\} \subseteq \Gamma$ spans the whole V^ .*

Nondegenerate leaves

We consider invariant subsets $U \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ with the property that the restriction of the action $V \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ to U is free.

Proposition

The orbit Vx of a point $x = (x_1, \dots, x_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m$ under the action $V \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is free iff the subset $\{\gamma_i : x_i \neq 0\} \subseteq \Gamma$ spans the whole V^ .*

Proof.

Suppose the orbit Vx is not free, i.e. there exists $\mathbf{v} \neq 0$ such that

$$(x_1 e^{\langle \gamma_1, \mathbf{v} \rangle}, \dots, x_m e^{\langle \gamma_m, \mathbf{v} \rangle}) = (x_1, \dots, x_m).$$

Then $\langle \gamma_i, \mathbf{v} \rangle = 0$ for $x_i \neq 0$, which implies that the vectors γ_i with $x_i \neq 0$ do not span V^* . The opposite statement is proved similarly. \square

Denote $[m] = \{1, \dots, m\}$ and consider subsets $I = \{i_1, \dots, i_p\} \subseteq [m]$. For each I we denote

$$\Gamma_I := \{\gamma_i : i \in I\} \subseteq \Gamma.$$

Denote $[m] = \{1, \dots, m\}$ and consider subsets $I = \{i_1, \dots, i_p\} \subseteq [m]$. For each I we denote

$$\Gamma_I := \{\gamma_i : i \in I\} \subseteq \Gamma.$$

Let $\hat{I} := [m] \setminus I$ denote the complementary subset. We set

$$\mathcal{K}(\Gamma) = \{I \subseteq [m] : \Gamma_{\hat{I}} \text{ spans } V^*\}.$$

Denote $[m] = \{1, \dots, m\}$ and consider subsets $I = \{i_1, \dots, i_p\} \subseteq [m]$. For each I we denote

$$\Gamma_I := \{\gamma_i : i \in I\} \subseteq \Gamma.$$

Let $\hat{I} := [m] \setminus I$ denote the complementary subset. We set

$$\mathcal{K}(\Gamma) = \{I \subseteq [m] : \Gamma_{\hat{I}} \text{ spans } V^*\}.$$

Proposition

$\mathcal{K}(\Gamma)$ is a pure simplicial complex of dimension $m - k - 1$.

Denote $[m] = \{1, \dots, m\}$ and consider subsets $I = \{i_1, \dots, i_p\} \subseteq [m]$. For each I we denote

$$\Gamma_I := \{\gamma_i : i \in I\} \subseteq \Gamma.$$

Let $\widehat{I} := [m] \setminus I$ denote the complementary subset. We set

$$\mathcal{K}(\Gamma) = \{I \subseteq [m] : \Gamma_{\widehat{I}} \text{ spans } V^*\}.$$

Proposition

$\mathcal{K}(\Gamma)$ is a pure simplicial complex of dimension $m - k - 1$.

Proof.

If $\Gamma_{\widehat{I}}$ spans V^* , then so does $\Gamma_{\widehat{J}} \supset \Gamma_{\widehat{I}}$ for any $J \subset I$. Hence, $\mathcal{K}(\Gamma)$ is a simplicial complex. Also, if $\Gamma_{\widehat{I}}$ spans V^* , then it contains a basis of V^* .

Such a basis has the form $\Gamma_{\widehat{L}}$ for some L with $I \subset L$ and

$|L| = m - |\widehat{L}| = m - k$. It follows that each face $I \in \mathcal{K}$ is contained in a $(m - k - 1)$ -dimensional face, so $\mathcal{K}(\Gamma)$ is pure $(m - k - 1)$ -dimensional. \square

Given a simplicial complex \mathcal{K} on $[m]$, define the following open subset in \mathbb{R}^m (the **complement of an arrangement of coordinate subspaces**):

$$U(\mathcal{K}) = \mathbb{R}^m \setminus \bigcup_{\{i_1, \dots, i_p\} \notin \mathcal{K}} \{\mathbf{x} : x_{i_1} = \dots = x_{i_p} = 0\}.$$

Given a simplicial complex \mathcal{K} on $[m]$, define the following open subset in \mathbb{R}^m (the **complement of an arrangement of coordinate subspaces**):

$$U(\mathcal{K}) = \mathbb{R}^m \setminus \bigcup_{\{i_1, \dots, i_p\} \notin \mathcal{K}} \{\mathbf{x} : x_{i_1} = \dots = x_{i_p} = 0\}.$$

For example, if $\mathcal{K} = \{\emptyset\}$, then $U(\mathcal{K}) = (\mathbb{R}^\times)^m$, where $\mathbb{R}^\times = \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, and if \mathcal{K} consists of all proper subsets of $[m]$, then $U(\mathcal{K}) = \mathbb{R}^m \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$.

Given a simplicial complex \mathcal{K} on $[m]$, define the following open subset in \mathbb{R}^m (the **complement of an arrangement of coordinate subspaces**):

$$U(\mathcal{K}) = \mathbb{R}^m \setminus \bigcup_{\{i_1, \dots, i_p\} \notin \mathcal{K}} \{\mathbf{x} : x_{i_1} = \dots = x_{i_p} = 0\}.$$

For example, if $\mathcal{K} = \{\emptyset\}$, then $U(\mathcal{K}) = (\mathbb{R}^\times)^m$, where $\mathbb{R}^\times = \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, and if \mathcal{K} consists of all proper subsets of $[m]$, then $U(\mathcal{K}) = \mathbb{R}^m \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$.

Proposition

For any subcomplex

$$\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathcal{K}(\Gamma) = \{I \subseteq [m] : \Gamma_{\hat{I}} \text{ spans } V^*\},$$

the restriction of the action $V \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ to $U(\mathcal{K})$ is free.

Given a simplicial complex \mathcal{K} on $[m]$, define the following open subset in \mathbb{R}^m (the **complement of an arrangement of coordinate subspaces**):

$$U(\mathcal{K}) = \mathbb{R}^m \setminus \bigcup_{\{i_1, \dots, i_p\} \notin \mathcal{K}} \{\mathbf{x} : x_{i_1} = \dots = x_{i_p} = 0\}.$$

For example, if $\mathcal{K} = \{\emptyset\}$, then $U(\mathcal{K}) = (\mathbb{R}^\times)^m$, where $\mathbb{R}^\times = \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, and if \mathcal{K} consists of all proper subsets of $[m]$, then $U(\mathcal{K}) = \mathbb{R}^m \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$.

Proposition

For any subcomplex

$$\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathcal{K}(\Gamma) = \{I \subseteq [m] : \Gamma_{\hat{I}} \text{ spans } V^*\},$$

the restriction of the action $V \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ to $U(\mathcal{K})$ is free.

We restate this by saying that $U(\mathcal{K})$ consists of **nondegenerate leaves** of the foliation defined by $V \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ for any $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathcal{K}(\Gamma)$.

Linear Gale duality

Given $\Gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m)$, define a linear map $\Gamma: \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow V^*$, $\mathbf{e}_i \mapsto \gamma_i$.
Let $W := \text{Ker } \Gamma$, so we have dual exact sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow W \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^m \xrightarrow{\Gamma} V^* \longrightarrow 0,$$

$$0 \longrightarrow V \xrightarrow{\Gamma^*} \mathbb{R}^m \xrightarrow{A} W^* \longrightarrow 0,$$

where Γ^* takes \mathbf{v} to $(\langle \gamma_1, \mathbf{v} \rangle, \dots, \langle \gamma_m, \mathbf{v} \rangle)$. Set $\mathbf{a}_i := A(\mathbf{e}_i)$.

Linear Gale duality

Given $\Gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m)$, define a linear map $\Gamma: \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow V^*$, $\mathbf{e}_i \mapsto \gamma_i$.
Let $W := \text{Ker } \Gamma$, so we have dual exact sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow W \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^m \xrightarrow{\Gamma} V^* \longrightarrow 0,$$

$$0 \longrightarrow V \xrightarrow{\Gamma^*} \mathbb{R}^m \xrightarrow{A} W^* \longrightarrow 0,$$

where Γ^* takes \mathbf{v} to $(\langle \gamma_1, \mathbf{v} \rangle, \dots, \langle \gamma_m, \mathbf{v} \rangle)$. Set $\mathbf{a}_i := A(\mathbf{e}_i)$.

The vector configuration $A = \{\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_m\}$ in W^* is called the **Gale dual** of $\Gamma = \{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m\}$. The Gale dual of A is Γ .

Linear Gale duality

Given $\Gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m)$, define a linear map $\Gamma: \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow V^*$, $\mathbf{e}_i \mapsto \gamma_i$. Let $W := \text{Ker } \Gamma$, so we have dual exact sequences

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \longrightarrow W \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^m \xrightarrow{\Gamma} V^* \longrightarrow 0, \\ 0 \longrightarrow V \xrightarrow{\Gamma^*} \mathbb{R}^m \xrightarrow{A} W^* \longrightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

where Γ^* takes \mathbf{v} to $(\langle \gamma_1, \mathbf{v} \rangle, \dots, \langle \gamma_m, \mathbf{v} \rangle)$. Set $\mathbf{a}_i := A(\mathbf{e}_i)$.

The vector configuration $A = \{\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_m\}$ in W^* is called the **Gale dual** of $\Gamma = \{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m\}$. The Gale dual of A is Γ .

If we choose bases in V and W , then Γ becomes a $k \times m$ -matrix with columns $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m$ and A becomes an $(m - k) \times m$ -matrix with columns $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_m$. The identity $A\Gamma^* = 0$ implies that the rows of A form a basis in the space of linear relations between the vectors $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m$.

Proposition

For any $I \subseteq [m]$, the vectors in Δ_I are linearly independent in W^ iff $\Gamma_{\hat{I}}$ spans V^* .*

Proposition

For any $I \subseteq [m]$, the vectors in A_I are linearly independent in W^ iff $\Gamma_{\hat{I}}$ spans V^* .*

A **simplicial cone** σ in W^* consists of nonnegative linear combinations of a set of linearly independent vectors in W^* .

Proposition

For any $I \subseteq [m]$, the vectors in A_I are linearly independent in W^ iff $\Gamma_{\hat{I}}$ spans V^* .*

A **simplicial cone** σ in W^* consists of nonnegative linear combinations of a set of linearly independent vectors in W^* .

A **simplicial fan** is a finite collection $\Sigma = \{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_s\}$ of simplicial cones such that every face of a cone in Σ belongs to Σ and the intersection of any two cones in Σ is a face of each.

Simplicial cones and fans

Proposition

For any $I \subseteq [m]$, the vectors in Λ_I are linearly independent in W^* iff $\Gamma_{\hat{I}}$ spans V^* .

A **simplicial cone** σ in W^* consists of nonnegative linear combinations of a set of linearly independent vectors in W^* .

A **simplicial fan** is a finite collection $\Sigma = \{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_s\}$ of simplicial cones such that every face of a cone in Σ belongs to Σ and the intersection of any two cones in Σ is a face of each.

Let Σ be a simplicial fan in W^* , and let $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_m$ be generators of one-dimensional cones of Σ . The **underlying simplicial complex** $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}_{\Sigma}$ is the collection of subsets $I \subseteq [m]$ such that $\{\mathbf{a}_i : i \in I\}$ spans a cone of Σ .

A simplicial fan Σ is therefore determined by two pieces of data:

- a simplicial complex \mathcal{K} on $[m]$;
- a configuration of vectors $A = \{\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_m\}$ in W^* such that for any simplex $I \in \mathcal{K}$ the subset $A_I = \{\mathbf{a}_i : i \in I\}$ is linearly independent.

A simplicial fan Σ is therefore determined by two pieces of data:

- a simplicial complex \mathcal{K} on $[m]$;
- a configuration of vectors $A = \{\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_m\}$ in W^* such that for any simplex $I \in \mathcal{K}$ the subset $A_I = \{\mathbf{a}_i : i \in I\}$ is linearly independent.

Conversely, given a simplicial complex \mathcal{K} and a vector configuration A , we can define the simplicial cone $\sigma_I = \text{cone}(A_I)$ for each $I \in \mathcal{K}$.

A simplicial fan Σ is therefore determined by two pieces of data:

- a simplicial complex \mathcal{K} on $[m]$;
- a configuration of vectors $A = \{\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_m\}$ in W^* such that for any simplex $I \in \mathcal{K}$ the subset $A_I = \{\mathbf{a}_i : i \in I\}$ is linearly independent.

Conversely, given a simplicial complex \mathcal{K} and a vector configuration A , we can define the simplicial cone $\sigma_I = \text{cone}(A_I)$ for each $I \in \mathcal{K}$.

The 'bunch of cones' $\{\sigma_I : I \in \mathcal{K}\}$ patches into a fan Σ whenever any two cones σ_I and σ_J intersect in a common face (which has to be $\sigma_{I \cap J}$). Under this condition, we say that the data $\{\mathcal{K}, A\}$ **define a fan** Σ .

We have the following criterion in terms of the vector configuration $\Gamma = \{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m\}$ Gale dual to A .

We have the following criterion in terms of the vector configuration $\Gamma = \{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m\}$ Gale dual to A .

Theorem

Let \mathcal{K} be a simplicial complex on $[m]$, let $A = \{\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_m\}$ be a vector configuration in W^ such that for any simplex $I \in \mathcal{K}$ the subset A_I is linearly independent, and let $\Gamma = \{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m\}$ be the Gale dual vector configuration. The following conditions are equivalent:*

We have the following criterion in terms of the vector configuration $\Gamma = \{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m\}$ Gale dual to A .

Theorem

Let \mathcal{K} be a simplicial complex on $[m]$, let $A = \{\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_m\}$ be a vector configuration in W^ such that for any simplex $I \in \mathcal{K}$ the subset A_I is linearly independent, and let $\Gamma = \{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m\}$ be the Gale dual vector configuration. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) $\{\mathcal{K}, A\}$ define a fan Σ ;

We have the following criterion in terms of the vector configuration $\Gamma = \{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m\}$ Gale dual to A .

Theorem

Let \mathcal{K} be a simplicial complex on $[m]$, let $A = \{\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_m\}$ be a vector configuration in W^* such that for any simplex $I \in \mathcal{K}$ the subset A_I is linearly independent, and let $\Gamma = \{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m\}$ be the Gale dual vector configuration. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (a) $\{\mathcal{K}, A\}$ define a fan Σ ;
- (b) $\text{relint cone}(A_I) \cap \text{relint cone}(A_J) = \emptyset$ for any $I, J \in \mathcal{K}$, $I \neq J$;

We have the following criterion in terms of the vector configuration $\Gamma = \{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m\}$ Gale dual to A .

Theorem

Let \mathcal{K} be a simplicial complex on $[m]$, let $A = \{\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_m\}$ be a vector configuration in W^* such that for any simplex $I \in \mathcal{K}$ the subset A_I is linearly independent, and let $\Gamma = \{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m\}$ be the Gale dual vector configuration. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (a) $\{\mathcal{K}, A\}$ define a fan Σ ;
- (b) $\text{relint cone}(A_I) \cap \text{relint cone}(A_J) = \emptyset$ for any $I, J \in \mathcal{K}$, $I \neq J$;
- (c) $\text{relint cone}(\Gamma_{\hat{I}}) \cap \text{relint cone}(\Gamma_{\hat{J}}) \neq \emptyset$ for any $I, J \in \mathcal{K}$.

Proper actions

A continuous action $G \times X \rightarrow X$, $(g, x) \mapsto g \cdot x$ of a topological group G on a topological space X is **proper** if the map $h: G \times X \rightarrow X \times X$, $(g, x) \mapsto (g \cdot x, x)$ is proper, that is, $h^{-1}(C)$ is compact for any compact $C \subseteq X \times X$.

Proper actions

A continuous action $G \times X \rightarrow X$, $(g, x) \mapsto g \cdot x$ of a topological group G on a topological space X is **proper** if the map $h: G \times X \rightarrow X \times X$, $(g, x) \mapsto (g \cdot x, x)$ is proper, that is, $h^{-1}(C)$ is compact for any compact $C \subseteq X \times X$.

Properness is a key property for noncompact Lie group actions:

Proper actions

A continuous action $G \times X \rightarrow X$, $(g, x) \mapsto g \cdot x$ of a topological group G on a topological space X is **proper** if the map $h: G \times X \rightarrow X \times X$, $(g, x) \mapsto (g \cdot x, x)$ is proper, that is, $h^{-1}(C)$ is compact for any compact $C \subseteq X \times X$.

Properness is a key property for noncompact Lie group actions:

- the quotient M/G of a proper action of a Lie group action G on a manifold M is Hausdorff;

Proper actions

A continuous action $G \times X \rightarrow X$, $(g, x) \mapsto g \cdot x$ of a topological group G on a topological space X is **proper** if the map $h: G \times X \rightarrow X \times X$, $(g, x) \mapsto (g \cdot x, x)$ is proper, that is, $h^{-1}(C)$ is compact for any compact $C \subseteq X \times X$.

Properness is a key property for noncompact Lie group actions:

- the quotient M/G of a proper action of a Lie group action G on a manifold M is Hausdorff;
- the quotient M/G of a smooth, free and proper action of a Lie group G on a smooth manifold M is a smooth manifold.

For our action $V \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ we have the following result:

For our action $V \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ we have the following result:

Theorem

Let $\Gamma = \{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m\}$ be a vector configuration in V^* defining the action $V \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$, and let $A = \{\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_m\}$ be the Gale dual configuration. Let \mathcal{K} be a simplicial complex on $[m]$ such that for any $I \in \mathcal{K}$ the subset Γ_I spans V^* (equivalently, the subset A_I is linearly independent). Then

For our action $V \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ we have the following result:

Theorem

Let $\Gamma = \{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m\}$ be a vector configuration in V^* defining the action $V \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$, and let $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_m\}$ be the Gale dual configuration. Let \mathcal{K} be a simplicial complex on $[m]$ such that for any $I \in \mathcal{K}$ the subset Γ_I spans V^* (equivalently, the subset A_I is linearly independent). Then

(1) the restricted action $V \times U(\mathcal{K}) \rightarrow U(\mathcal{K})$ is free;

For our action $V \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ we have the following result:

Theorem

Let $\Gamma = \{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m\}$ be a vector configuration in V^* defining the action $V \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$, and let $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_m\}$ be the Gale dual configuration. Let \mathcal{K} be a simplicial complex on $[m]$ such that for any $I \in \mathcal{K}$ the subset Γ_I spans V^* (equivalently, the subset A_I is linearly independent). Then

- (1) the restricted action $V \times U(\mathcal{K}) \rightarrow U(\mathcal{K})$ is free;
- (2) the action $V \times U(\mathcal{K}) \rightarrow U(\mathcal{K})$ is proper iff $\{\mathcal{K}, A\}$ define a fan.

For our action $V \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ we have the following result:

Theorem

Let $\Gamma = \{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m\}$ be a vector configuration in V^* defining the action $V \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$, and let $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_m\}$ be the Gale dual configuration. Let \mathcal{K} be a simplicial complex on $[m]$ such that for any $I \in \mathcal{K}$ the subset Γ_I spans V^* (equivalently, the subset A_I is linearly independent). Then

- (1) the restricted action $V \times U(\mathcal{K}) \rightarrow U(\mathcal{K})$ is free;
- (2) the action $V \times U(\mathcal{K}) \rightarrow U(\mathcal{K})$ is proper iff $\{\mathcal{K}, A\}$ define a fan.

If $\{\mathcal{K}, A\}$ define a **complete** fan in W^* (i. e. the union of all cones is the whole W^*), then the quotient $U(\mathcal{K})/V$ is a compact smooth manifold. It is known in toric topology as the **real moment-angle manifold** corresponding to \mathcal{K} .

Polytopal fans and intersections of quadrics

The **normal fan** Σ_P of a simple convex polytope P in W is an important example of a complete simplicial fan. In this case, the vectors $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_m$ are the inward-pointing normals to the facets of P , and a subset A_I spans a cone iff the intersection of facets with normals \mathbf{a}_i , $i \in I$, is nonempty.

Polytopal fans and intersections of quadrics

The **normal fan** Σ_P of a simple convex polytope P in W is an important example of a complete simplicial fan. In this case, the vectors $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_m$ are the inward-pointing normals to the facets of P , and a subset A_I spans a cone iff the intersection of facets with normals \mathbf{a}_i , $i \in I$, is nonempty.

Not every complete simplicial fan is a normal fan! In fact, we have

Polytopal fans and intersections of quadrics

The **normal fan** Σ_P of a simple convex polytope P in W is an important example of a complete simplicial fan. In this case, the vectors $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_m$ are the inward-pointing normals to the facets of P , and a subset A_I spans a cone iff the intersection of facets with normals \mathbf{a}_i , $i \in I$, is nonempty.

Not every complete simplicial fan is a normal fan! In fact, we have

Theorem

Let $A = \{\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_m\}$ and $\Gamma = \{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m\}$ be a pair of Gale dual vector configurations. Assume that $\Sigma = \{\text{cone } A_I : I \in \mathcal{K}\}$ is a fan with convex support (respectively, complete fan). The following conditions are equivalent:

Polytopal fans and intersections of quadrics

The **normal fan** Σ_P of a simple convex polytope P in W is an important example of a complete simplicial fan. In this case, the vectors $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_m$ are the inward-pointing normals to the facets of P , and a subset A_I spans a cone iff the intersection of facets with normals \mathbf{a}_i , $i \in I$, is nonempty.

Not every complete simplicial fan is a normal fan! In fact, we have

Theorem

Let $A = \{\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_m\}$ and $\Gamma = \{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m\}$ be a pair of Gale dual vector configurations. Assume that $\Sigma = \{\text{cone } A_I : I \in \mathcal{K}\}$ is a fan with convex support (respectively, complete fan). The following conditions are equivalent:

- (a) Σ is a normal fan of polyhedron (respectively, polytope);

Polytopal fans and intersections of quadrics

The **normal fan** Σ_P of a simple convex polytope P in W is an important example of a complete simplicial fan. In this case, the vectors $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_m$ are the inward-pointing normals to the facets of P , and a subset A_I spans a cone iff the intersection of facets with normals \mathbf{a}_i , $i \in I$, is nonempty.

Not every complete simplicial fan is a normal fan! In fact, we have

Theorem

Let $A = \{\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_m\}$ and $\Gamma = \{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m\}$ be a pair of Gale dual vector configurations. Assume that $\Sigma = \{\text{cone } A_I : I \in \mathcal{K}\}$ is a fan with convex support (respectively, complete fan). The following conditions are equivalent:

- (a) Σ is a normal fan of polyhedron (respectively, polytope);
- (b) $\bigcap_{I \in \mathcal{K}} \text{relint cone}(\Gamma_{\hat{I}}) \neq \emptyset$.

Therefore, the data $\{\mathcal{K}, A\}$ define a fan Σ iff the relative interiors of Gale dual cones $\text{cone } \Gamma_{\hat{I}}$ have pairwise nonempty intersections, and Σ is the normal fan of a polytope iff all the cones $\text{cone } \Gamma_{\hat{I}}$ have a common relative interior point.

Therefore, the data $\{\mathcal{K}, A\}$ define a fan Σ iff the relative interiors of Gale dual cones $\text{cone } \Gamma_{\hat{I}}$ have pairwise nonempty intersections, and Σ is the normal fan of a polytope iff all the cones $\text{cone } \Gamma_{\hat{I}}$ have a common relative interior point.

In the polytopal case, the leaf space $U(\mathcal{K})/V$ can be described as an intersection of quadrics:

Therefore, the data $\{\mathcal{K}, A\}$ define a fan Σ iff the relative interiors of Gale dual cones $\text{cone } \Gamma_{\hat{\gamma}}$ have pairwise nonempty intersections, and Σ is the normal fan of a polytope iff all the cones $\text{cone } \Gamma_{\hat{\gamma}}$ have a common relative interior point.

In the polytopal case, the leaf space $U(\mathcal{K})/V$ can be described as an intersection of quadrics:

Theorem

For any $\mathbf{c} \in \bigcap_{I \in \mathcal{K}} \text{relint cone}(\Gamma_{\hat{\gamma}})$, the quotient $U(\mathcal{K})/V$ is diffeomorphic to

$$\{\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m : \gamma_1 x_1^2 + \dots + \gamma_m x_m^2 = \mathbf{c}\}.$$

Therefore, the data $\{\mathcal{K}, A\}$ define a fan Σ iff the relative interiors of Gale dual cones $\text{cone } \Gamma_{\hat{\gamma}}$ have pairwise nonempty intersections, and Σ is the normal fan of a polytope iff all the cones $\text{cone } \Gamma_{\hat{\gamma}}$ have a common relative interior point.

In the polytopal case, the leaf space $U(\mathcal{K})/V$ can be described as an intersection of quadrics:

Theorem

For any $\mathbf{c} \in \bigcap_{I \in \mathcal{K}} \text{relint } \text{cone}(\Gamma_{\hat{\gamma}})$, the quotient $U(\mathcal{K})/V$ is diffeomorphic to

$$\{\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m : \gamma_1 x_1^2 + \dots + \gamma_m x_m^2 = \mathbf{c}\}.$$

Idea of proof.

The function $f: \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $f(\mathbf{x}) = \|\gamma_1 x_1^2 + \dots + \gamma_m x_m^2 - \mathbf{c}\|^2$ has a unique minimum at each orbit $V\mathbf{x}$, and the set of these minima is the intersection of quadrics above. □

Holomorphic actions

$V \cong \mathbb{C}^{\ell}$ a complex space (think of endowing $V \cong \mathbb{R}^k$ with a complex structure, provided that $k = 2\ell$ is even).

Holomorphic actions

$V \cong \mathbb{C}^{\ell}$ a complex space (think of endowing $V \cong \mathbb{R}^k$ with a complex structure, provided that $k = 2\ell$ is even).

$\Gamma = \{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m\}$ a configuration of vectors in V^* .

Holomorphic actions

$V \cong \mathbb{C}^\ell$ a complex space (think of endowing $V \cong \mathbb{R}^k$ with a complex structure, provided that $k = 2\ell$ is even).

$\Gamma = \{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m\}$ a configuration of vectors in V^* .

Consider the action of V on \mathbb{C}^m given by

$$\begin{aligned} V \times \mathbb{C}^m &\longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^m \\ (\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{z}) &\mapsto \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{z} = (e^{\langle \gamma_1, \mathbf{v} \rangle} z_1, \dots, e^{\langle \gamma_m, \mathbf{v} \rangle} z_m). \end{aligned}$$

Holomorphic actions

$V \cong \mathbb{C}^\ell$ a complex space (think of endowing $V \cong \mathbb{R}^k$ with a complex structure, provided that $k = 2\ell$ is even).

$\Gamma = \{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m\}$ a configuration of vectors in V^* .

Consider the action of V on \mathbb{C}^m given by

$$V \times \mathbb{C}^m \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^m$$
$$(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{z}) \mapsto \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{z} = (e^{\langle \gamma_1, \mathbf{v} \rangle} z_1, \dots, e^{\langle \gamma_m, \mathbf{v} \rangle} z_m).$$

Provided that the holomorphic action $V \times U(\mathcal{K}) \rightarrow U(\mathcal{K})$ is free and proper (the *fan condition*), the quotient $\mathcal{Z}_K = U(\mathcal{K})/V$ is a complex-analytic manifold (the **complex moment-angle manifold**).

Holomorphic actions

$V \cong \mathbb{C}^\ell$ a complex space (think of endowing $V \cong \mathbb{R}^k$ with a complex structure, provided that $k = 2\ell$ is even).

$\Gamma = \{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m\}$ a configuration of vectors in V^* .

Consider the action of V on \mathbb{C}^m given by

$$\begin{aligned} V \times \mathbb{C}^m &\longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^m \\ (\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{z}) &\mapsto \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{z} = (e^{\langle \gamma_1, \mathbf{v} \rangle} z_1, \dots, e^{\langle \gamma_m, \mathbf{v} \rangle} z_m). \end{aligned}$$

Provided that the holomorphic action $V \times U(\mathcal{K}) \rightarrow U(\mathcal{K})$ is free and proper (the *fan condition*), the quotient $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}} = U(\mathcal{K})/V$ is a complex-analytic manifold (the **complex moment-angle manifold**).

This construction leads to a new family on *non-Kähler* complex manifolds, which includes the classical series of **Hopf** and **Calabi–Eckmann manifolds**.

References (to some earlier works)

- [1] Frédéric Bosio and Laurent Meersseman. *Real quadrics in \mathbb{C}^n , complex manifolds and convex polytopes*. Acta Math. **197** (2006), no. 1, 53–127.
- [2] Victor Buchstaber and Taras Panov. *Toric Topology*. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 204, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2015.
- [3] Taras Panov, Yuri Ustinovsky and Misha Verbitsky. *Complex geometry of moment-angle manifolds*. Math. Zeitschrift 284 (2016), no. 1, 309–333.